IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

TUESDAY, THE 9TH JANUARY 2007 / 19TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 449 of 2007(C)

PETITIONER:

K.SANKARANKUTTY, KANGATHVALAPPIL HOUSE,
P.O.KARIKAD, THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.G.PRABHAKARAN

RESPONDENTS:

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
   THRISSUR,
   REP. BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE SECRETARY,
   REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
   THRISSUR.

3. SHRI.SUBRAMANIAN,
   THALAKKOT HOUSE, EDAPPAL,
   MALAPPURAM.

4. SHRI.VIJAYAN, THALAKKOTT HOUSE,
   EDAPPAL, MALAPPURAM.

5. THE DISTRICT TRANSPORT OFFICER,
   K.S.R.T.C., THRISSUR.

6. STATE OF KERALA,
   REP. BY SECRETARY TO MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

R5 BY ADV. SHRI.JOHNSON P.JOHN, SC, KSRTC

R1,R2 & R6 BY GOVT.PLEADER SRI M.A.FAYAZ

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN,J.

W.P.(C).NO.449 OF 2007

Dated this the 9th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

If the petitioner has a legal right to challenge the impugned order in any legal proceedings based on the Full Bench decision, certainly he can approach the revisional authorities for such relief. But the petitioner has not so far approached the revisional authority with any such petition. If he has no such right, then he has no right to invoke the jurisdiction of this Court also. Hence, the writ petition is liable to be dismissed. I do so. However, this will not preclude him to seek his remedies if any under the statute.

P.R.RAMAN,
Judge.

kcv.